<u>CWAC Recommendations: CDBG Activities Meeting</u> July 16th, 2021 @ 5:00pm

CWAC Participants: Elaine Tipton, Toni Promenchenkel

Glenda: I am calling this meeting to order today, it is July 16th, 2021 and we are here today to go over the CWAC 2020-21 CDBG recommendations. Do we have anyone from the public on the line?

No answer.

Glenda: Okay, no public comment, so now we will do roll call. We know that Toni is here and that Elaine is here. You need to tell us where you are calling from.

Elaine: Elaine Tipton, City of Flint resident, fifth ward

Toni: Toni Promenchenkel, again calling from my dining room table in the City of Flint.

Glenda: Thank you, ladies. Okay, what are we starting with? Is it Public Service?

Toni: Yes.

Glenda: So I can't recall what happened yesterday. I know we looked at these applications here and that we eliminated some.

Sarah: Yes, and that's when we ran into the scoring concern that we weren't sure what was going on with the formula that was input. Last night we did some work looking at scores and found out it's better to just separate the risk score and average evaluation score from the CWAC members. With those CWAC scores, the higher score is favorable, with a risk assessment score, the lower the score means lower risk that is in column F. Then in column G are the average CWAC scores of what was turned in. This is a little more straightforward so you can see both scores side by side as you're moving through. So that was the best solution. The scores all looked good, the issue was all within the formulas. So, whatever was happening was existing between the two formulas. So rather than try and reinvent the wheel really quick we just separated them and went basic.

Carissa: If I may add, I think some of the issue was we didn't have some scoring. So when Mike brought up a negative rating that didn't belong... that's because the composite score takes the CWAC and subtracts the risk assessment score, but since there was no CWAC scoring, it was

zero, so no matter what they received a negative score. Overall, the scores look good. Nothing else has changed, just the way we are looking at it.

Toni: How many people turned in CWAC scores for public service?

Sarah: For all of our CWAC scoring Elaine and Toni were the only two that turned in score sheets.

Toni: So there is no score for some of them?

Sarah: Yes, a few of them, I don't know what the issue was as far as there was no score, whether the score sheet got overlooked, or there was an issue getting those... so on some of them there was no score, but there are very few of those. Maybe three or four.

Toni: Well because I abstained from one of them for sure.

Sarah: Yes, you said that in that email this morning. We got the ones that you sent through last night and the others you sent earlier. So this sheet is all up to date.

Toni: Right, but the scores for public service I had done a while ago.

Sarah: Yup, those were in there as well, the ones you did originally and then we updated with the ones you had not gotten through. So we went ahead and inserted those this morning and updated averages on this sheet this morning.

Toni: When I looked at the document yesterday there were no CWAC scores for that worksheet, so I was thinking that it might have been an old notation or something. I was just wondering if there was one that didn't have a score or Elaine made heres in if there was a glitch on her end or something.

Sarah: Elaine, after much frustration on her end, got hers through to us and yours that were outstanding were submitted and received this morning. But both of you two are the only ones who submitted them to us.

Toni: So do we need to do a quorum or anything for this committee?

Glenda: I don't think so. I think we just need to continue to do what we are doing. Because the thing is, I don't think there's been a clear message on whether we need a quorum to continue on with this.

Carissa: Glenda could you please talk about faith foundation resources?

Glenda: Yes, so after I read through this organization's application in yellow, Faith Foundation Resources was actually placed under economic development, but after I read the application they weren't creating jobs, they weren't retaining jobs, they were doing job training, so we can't guarantee this people will have jobs, so we moved that particular project to public service. So, yesterday we deleted United Health and Kidney Foundation and the North Flint Reinvestment...

Sarah: And PAL, it looks like.

Carissa: One point of clarification for PAL, this is PAL Court, not the City of Flint Police Department.

Glenda: Okay. So the instruction yesterday before you left was you guys would go back and read through the public service and decide which ones you'd want to fund and which ones you wanted to delete. What have you all got?

Elaine: Continue African Drum and Dance, Boys and Girls Club, and Brennan Center....

Glenda: Do you have some you do not want to fund? I'm sure that's a lesser list.

Elaine: I think we kinda covered those... Oh, St. Marks.

Glenda: You do not want to fund St. Marks?

Elaine: I do not want to fund. Universal Health and Kidney Foundation, PAL, and not Legal Services Fair Housing Enforcement, and this is just me... and that's pretty much it. That I definitely did not want to fund. And then there are those that if we had the money, yes, but prioritized then I would probably drop them off the list, but I didn't find anything horribly wrong with it.

Glenda: Toni what ones did you choose?

Toni: I think Elaine covered the best, the ones that really stood out, to not fund.

Glenda: Wow, consensus. Okay so we're looking to fund all of the rest of these, is that what you're saying?

Toni: Uhm, maybe not to the amount they're asking. I should have done more homework last night. But uhm, I've been working long hours at work and sitting in front of the computer and then coming and doing that has been taking a toll on my back.

Glenda: Yes, I bet, especially looking at that laptop.

Toni: I've got one that doesn't want to hold a battery charge and wants to cut out when I'm in the middle of something.

Glenda: Okay, that must be the one you borrowed from work.

Toni: No, that's the one that works!

Glenda: Okay, well how many applications do we have Carissa? I just want to tell you, not to tell you what to do, we have 6 or 7 staff here. When we get these applications we will at the same time have applications from this year, last year, and the CARES applications... That's a lot of applications to look at. Maybe we want to consider combining some of these and not funding all of them? That's just a suggestion.

Toni: I like the idea Elaine had with which she liked the most. There's a few I am kind of partial to. I like CHEA, that's important and they have high ratings. I would like Elaine to have a chance to finish saying what she was saying because I also like African Drum and Dance, it is a good program. I like Asbury because the Community Kitchen is kinda in an area that needs funding, but it's weird they aren't using the kitchen they already have downstairs. I was confused by that.

Glenda: Any others you really feel passionate about that you want to fund?

Elaine: Neighborhood Engagement Hub and uhm... well, Brennan Center... I think these programs are for seniors, 50+ kind of thing, and if I remember there were some problems with their spending last year. Could someone elaborate why that risk score is the 50?

Glenda: Do we have someone on who could talk about that?

Kevin: I got lost, which one are we looking at?

Glenda: Elaine, go ahead and say that again.

Elaine: The Brennan Center, I thought, their application is in line to spend on 50+ and up, is it the program or how they spent the money in terms of why their risk score is a 50?

Kevin: It's because they spend the money slowly since they are reimbursing a couple of salaries, but the executive director is Deborah Holmes, a very nice lady. It's just an elderly program called Golden Age Transition, for a senior going from between 55-59 when they aren't really considered the young crowd under 50 or the senior crowd at 60, but they're in the in between. They are spending their allocation slowly, but they're a good agency. It's a good program, they just spent the money slowly.

Elaine: I like Brennan Center,I thought it was something like that and the YWCA, I like that one too. And SBEV.

Glenda: Okay, something about SBEV, their risk score is a 20 which means it's very low risk, but should probably be higher than that. SBEV was one of the agency's that was monitored by HUD recently and there was an issue with their documentation. They were providing services to your hand. The national objective was providing service to people of low to moderate income. When you do that you have to document their data to see if they qualify. They did not have anything to document that they were serving low to moderate income individuals. They were not keeping any applications or anything. Even though we see a low risk score, it's pretty high.

Allie: Glenda, that might have been my fault. When I was doing their risk assessment and going through their past stuff, I did not see that.

Glenda: You wouldn't have seen that, because you did that before we had the monitoring. We thought all was good before HUD came in.

Elaine: When HUD comes in and they see their monitoring score weren't where they should be, is there something that jeopardizes all the grant funds they're receiving?

Glenda: There should be. Either lower or no money. But our plan is to really be on them and keep watching them. Starting from the first Payment Request, we look at everything. We need to go out and look at everything, we don't want to get to the end of the contract and see they aren't doing what they should.

Kevin: It affects the grant money they receive from COF, now it will not affect money they receive from the county, even though it all comes from HUD. But we are the organization that monitors them for their City of Flint funding and it's all based on our monitoring reports, unfortunately not the money they receive from anyone else.

Elaine: That would also be a negative against the city?

Kevin: Yes.

Elaine: I rescind the fact I want to give them money.

Toni: I like Sylvester Broome and their programming, they have a few other facility improvement things I don't agree with but I do like their program. Due to covid last year many people who weren't low to moderate income before, became low to moderate income. So with their monitoring, it could have partially been from that change.

Glenda: If I'm not mistaken this monitoring was in 2019-20, when we didn't have covid.

Deyhana: Correct.

Toni: I mean they gave out food boxes all throughout covid. Their program is outstanding.

Glenda: Sylvester Broome?

Toni: Yes and there was another one that Elaine said that we did mark green that I am abstaining from that was supposed to be green.

Carissa: Which one was that?

Toni: I like their numbers for Sylvester Broome and I think it's a good program.

Elaine: For Sylvester Broome Empowerment Village, the issue is not the service, it's the backend, the administrative portion, they're not documenting properly, right?

Glenda: That we know of, yes, we will have to be going out there and watching and actually verify the type of programs that they're providing.

Kevin: That creates a lot of issues for us, it's not just administration, it's their reporting to us. Part of their Payment Requests each month is direct benefit information that we record and put into our drawdown system. So, if they submit direct benefit from the client file information then that puts their whole reporting in question because how can you say you're reporting accurate numbers when you have no client files to support those numbers

Deyhana: And if you don't have the files to support it, then there could be repayment. So we might have to pay or they might have to pay.

Toni: I used to be a member for years and part of it is parents really don't want to enter their income information and you have to go back and say "I'm sorry, I really need you to fill this in,

it's for a grant requirement and we need it we are not going to come back on you for any falsified information you may have within the other program"

Kevin: Yes, but as you state, we aren't asking for actual payments stubs, this is a guesstimation based off the number of people in your household and the total amount your household makes... you're absolutely right. But the other issues were more simplistic than that, just basic stuff. When we give out contracts we make sure they understand our funding needs to go toward City of Flint residents... So if someone from Beecher comes out and participates, you can't request reimbursement for that individual, we can't pay for that. They didn't have residency information.

Toni: There was no zip code information?

Kevin: From my understanding no.

Toni: Oh heavens.

Glenda: It's not good. At the beginning we have what's called a Contract Review Conference. In that meeting we tell them what is expected of them and go over all of the information with them, and we still didn't get anything. We gave them the type of income information we needed, but the income information we received we don't know where that even came from. It was just not good at all.

Toni: Okay, alright, I understand.

Glenda: Do we have anything else or anyone else you are really adamant about wanting to fund them?

Deyhana: I just want to say that the Fair Housing Center is the only fair housing component out of the ones we fund and it may not be great to have zero fair housing activities in any of our funding agencies. Just to bring that to your attention.

Toni: Okay, show us the fair housing things.

Deyhana: I'm not saying you have to fund them, I just wanted to bring that to your attention.

Glenda: That's one of the big programs of HUD, is preventing discirmination in housing and ensuring the enforcement of that.

Elaine: I thought it was a duplication of other agencies in the city that do that. Or am I totally wrong?

Deyhana: I don't know of any others that do that. Especially ones that we might fund.

Elaine: Oh, then take the yellow off it.

Kevin: All housing activities have to have a fair housing component. But Legal Services of Eastern Michigan is the only one in the community that offers legal services to low to moderate income people who cannot afford a lawyer to fight discirmination and they also give free fair housing advice to people on things to look for so they don't get taken advantage of and represent others in court.

Elaine: Thank you, Kevin.

Toni: The two programs of Legal Services of Eastern Michigan, one is scored higher and other is lower. What is the difference between the two programs?

Carissa explains the difference.

Glenda: Both Carissa and Kevin were correct. One is where they go out and test and the other is the special services stuff.

Toni: Can we fund the one with 81%?

Glenda: Special Services, but also keep in mind we have to have fair housing.

Elaine: We could do both.

Carissa: Elaine, correct me if I'm wrong, but if you didn't think it was a duplicate would you have scored it higher?

Elaine: Yes. I thought it was a duplication which is why I scored it like I did.

Glenda: Are there any other applications you absolutely want to fund and those you want to fund, is it the full amount?

Toni: I am trying to look at some of my documents here, I also like NEH that Elaine mentioned.

Elaine: I like the Flint Institute of Music, too.

Toni: I am hesitant on some of their programs, I don't know, maybe because I am paying taxes to pay for some of their stuff too.

Glenda: Oh, I know what you're talking about. If you're a resident of the area you get in free or something.

Toni: This isn't covered by the millage but I get hesitant how they're getting money from multiple places from my check book.

Sarah: Glenda, I am looking at the time and it looks like you have to head out here soon.

Glenda: Okay guys, see you guys Monday.

Glenda is dismissed.

Toni: So, I am looking at GCHFH. They have good funding and they only requested \$23,000?

Sarah: Yes and they performed well with their last contract. I should also note that as of next month it will be required to be a HUD Certified Housing Counseling Agency for the activities that they are carrying out, and they are.

Elaine: I support that, Genesee County Habitat, so what other agency has met the upcoming HUD requirement?

Sarah: I believe habitat is the only one on the list currently. They're the only one in Genesee County that has done that. It's being phased in and they jumped on that and were one of the first in the state. So that's why they applied for that funding to help support that role.

Elaine: Did we fund Ennis center last year?

Sarah: That's a good question.

Deyhana: 2020/21?

Carissa: Yes. For \$35,000 and they have \$19,000 remaining on their purchase orders.

Deyhana: Yes, but that money will be getting reprogrammed.

Elaine: Reprogramming, is this because they asked for more than needed or couldn't spend in a timely manner?

Deyhana: So when they requested the funding right before the 2019-2020 funding and they weren't able to spend what they thought htye could because of COVID and when it came time to get a contract extension they were unable to get that in on time, I think they were going through a director change. So I got that extension request in May, but it needed to be there by December 2020. Normally they are able to spend that money.

Kevin: Another bit of information, HUD monitored the Ennis Center and they had the exact same problem SBEV had, if not worse, with client documentation and reporting.

Carissa: Who is it that reviewed this contract?

Deyhana: I did not, do you mean the risk assessment?

Carissa: I just am asking because they have a payment request with \$50,000 but here they're asking for \$90,000. Is that normal?

Deyhana: I do not know.

Toni: Voices for Children, I scored that high.

Carissa: Where is that?

Toni: Near the bottom.

Carissa: Okay, thank you.

Toni: And United Way for Genesee County. We are reaching our limit here, if Elaine agrees.

Elaine: I agree, however I would like to fund some of the others and if there was something left, then yeah they could have it. R.L Jones I would just say no on them. If Toni is in agreement.

Toni: Okay. So let's start writing some numbers on our green ones.

Elaine: Should we finish the rest at least to know if we are going to eliminate them or? Like for Ennis I would just say no.

Toni: I agree.

Elaine: What about GCCARD? Can anyone share some insight on that?

Kevin: That is the one that delivers meals. They used to partner with VAAA, VAAA would do the intake, the evaluations on the scenes, and they would in turn order the meals from GCCARD would just do the delivery portion. VAAA did all the intake, did the meal plans. From looking at the application, I guess that partnership is no longer in play and they want to handle everything themselves. So now they will bring in and house the intake position, as well as the delivery portion.

Elaine: So is the risk score high due to concern from the loss of that partnership?

Sarah: Yes, but it's also because of the staff verification and it's higher from staff turnover.

Kevin: It's kind of a good organization, but lately they've had a high rate of turnover.

Elaine: Okay, let's not give them money. I don't know how I should appropriately say that.

Carissa: We can make it that salmon color for right now and just be moving on?

Elaine: Okay, I like that. Hasselbring I like but do they still have funds they haven't spent?

Kevin: Hasselbring has more expended than Brennan, but they are spending and have a lot of projects coming up. Due to the high risk of seniors being susceptible to covid they weren't running a lot of programs and they did a lot of programming at home. But they're spending the money down and just submitted their last payment request to spend the rest of their money and I am still going through that to ensure everything is going good.

Elaine: Green for me

Toni: I know for one program it was more virtual but that might have been facility improvement.

Kevin: They are going through a lot of facility improvements. They are trying not to have a lot of seniors come in the center, but doing things outside, which only works in the summer. So they were trying to set a lot up for outside. For a lot of them that's the only social interaction they have. A lot of their seniors have gotten their vaccines, though, and they're doing more inside. They have good stuff going on.

Toni: I am looking at their sheet now. Funding would include installation of a system for monitoring.

Deyhana: They want to get tablets and a video monitor system for the, Motivated Mindful Motion, so the 3M program.

Toni: I agree to give them green.

Elaine: Yes to Asbury and Big Brother Big Sisters, I don't remember the Flint and Genesee Literacy Network.

Kevin explains FGLN

Elaine: Did we fund them last year?

Kevin: I don't know about last year, but we did the year before.

Elaine: Let's make them green

Toni: I agree.

Elaine: What about Faith Foundation Resources?

Sarah: Roy used to monitor that and he is not with us anymore so we don't have that expertise.

Kevin: *Explains HYPE program.* Last time I spoke with Angela, she was also trying to get into finding youth housing opportunities, but the main focus is helping youth get those life skills.

Deyhana: Yes and she would help them get a job and continue to check up on them.

Toni: They've got their ducks in a row it looks like.

Sarah: What are we thinking?

Elaine: Green.

Toni: Yeah, let's roll with it.

Sarah: What is your pleasure with where you want to start from here?

Elaine: Let's start at the top and jump around.

Sarah: African Drum and Dance, they are asking \$10,000.

Elaine and Toni: \$10,000.

Sarah: Asbury Community Development Corporation is requesting \$50,000.

Toni: \$25,000.

Elaine: Yes.

Sarah: Big Brothers Big Sisters asking \$47,000.

Elaine: Let's put in the whole amount, but we will probably have to do something later.

Toni: Yes and modify later. This next one I have to abstain from.

Sarah: The Boys and Girls Club.

Elaine: They asked for \$80,000 but the minimum was \$20,000, so let's start with \$20,000.

Sarah: Brennan for \$49,000.

Elaine: \$25,000.

Toni: I'm going with Elaine on this one.

Sarah: Next up is CHEA.

Toni: I'd like to fully fund both and come back and see if we need to modify.

Elaine: Yes.

Sarah: Okay, fully fund both CHEA's then. Next is Faith Foundation Resources HYPE Program at \$75,000.

Elaine: CHEA also had a minimum on their senior activity and they had \$25,000, maybe just note that if we need to revisit.

Kevin: Historically we have funded between \$25,000 and \$35,000, it's typically in that area.

Sarah: That sounds right. Now for Faith Foundation Resources HYPER Program, asking for \$75,000.

Elaine: Half.

Toni: Yeah, they had a lot of other funding and this is mostly salaries, office supplies, and fringe. So yeah, I'll go with what Elaine suggested.

Sarah: Flint and Genesee Literacy Network with an \$80,000 ask.

Kevin: In the past they have received a max of \$40,000.

Checked and they got 80 and then 60 before

Toni: What do you suggest?

Kevin: Half would be a good number and like you said we have a limited amount of money. You're doing a great job with recommending but we're still only about halfway through the list.

Sarah: Yes, but we have a couple of big asks coming up.

Elaine: Let's go half.

Toni: I agree

Sarah: Next we have Genesee County Habitat for Humanity with a \$23,000 request.

Elaine: \$23,000.

Toni: I agree.

Sarah: Hasselbring \$44,569.

Elaine: I want to give them the whole amount but that probably won't work.

Toni: Let's do that and revisit.

Sarah: Legal Services of Eastern Michigan, Special Services asking \$22,000.

Elaine: They both have green lights now.

Sarah: For Fair Housing \$22,500.

Elaine: Yes, full amount.

Sarah: Special Services.

Elaine: Full amount.

Toni: Yes

Sarah: Neighborhood Engagement Hub at \$108,440.62

Elaine: \$80,000, I thought I saw a minimum amount of \$80,000.

Toni: I agree.

Sarah: United Way \$121,000.

Elaine: YWCA 100%, VCAC 100%, and then revisit to give United Way a little more money.

Sarah: Toni, how are you feeling?

Toni: So yeah, we need to give them more money, so what's the ultimate goal for them?

Elaine: I did not see a minimum, now this youth recreation did I see that this is for the Canoosa Games?

Kevin: Yes, you did, they're trying to tie that in but they fund the neighborhood organizations that normally don't qualify for federal funding, they submit applications to United Way, United Way uses our funds to fund those programs and we reimburse them. Things like neighborhood basketball organizations, that's United Way. The hockey program, that's United Way. So those who normally don't get those funds get funded through this. Historically they get around \$70,000 to \$80,000.

Sarah: Their minimum is \$80,000 and it is for recreation supplies, contracts, transport, facility rentals, field trips, and tournament costs.

Kevin: That is what they do and they do a good job at it

Elaine: If we gave them \$80,000, Toni, \$80,000 and revisit the others. Is that good for you?

Toni: I would like to plug them in at a little less than \$80,000 maybe.

Sarah: Okay, so what are we thinking for them?

Toni: Because Jamie is good at finding other funding, lets try \$60,000.

Sarah: Okay, \$60,000 for United Way, that leaves a gap of \$56,000 that we need to remove from other agency's.

Kevin: VCAC is a new organization and typically we don't fund new organizations right off the bat fully because we have no experience with them or how they report. We like to fund them, but we typically fund them at half just to get them started and see if they are a good organization to work with.

Elaine: Let me review that.. So their risk is at 40 because they've never been funded by you before?

Sarah: Their app looks rather thorough, most will go to salary and fringe and they have additional funding.

Elaine: What about \$25,000 until we have a track record, because we have no information regarding the success of their program

Sarah: Some of their other funding is from United Way, so that's part of that local support and they've been in operation for 3 years.

Toni: Could we try \$30,000?

Elaine: That's fine with me

Sarah: That brings our gap down to \$23,000.

Elaine: Let's revisit the ones we said 100% funding.

Sarah: African Drum and Dance.

Elaine: Let's leave it.

Sarah: BBBS at 100%.

Toni: I'd like to take them to half

Elaine: Well, we don't have to go to half though.

Toni: Maybe we want to give someone else some more.

Elaine: Oh, okay, I'm comfortable with making the difference off them but it's up to Toni.

Sarah: If we leave as is, that is a \$0 deficit.

Toni: There's one I can't speak to that's kinda running low.

Sarah: I am guessing that's the Boys and Girls Club?

Elaine: I'm fine, that's good

Kevin: I'd also recommend lowering the second CHEA and moving that around.

Elaine: Move it to where?

Kevin: If you took it down to \$40,000 or \$45,000 and then that gives you an extra \$15,000 to \$20,000 to move to other organizations, like increasing the Boys and Girls Club.

Elaine: Okay, I don't have a problem moving \$20,000 from CHEA to the Boys and Girls Club.

Kevin: Elaine, you would be the determining factor on that because Toni is abstaining from this decision.

Elaine: Well, I hope she's happy with that!

Toni: The percentages look a lot more fair now. So are we at a zero balance?

Sarah: We are.

Toni: Elaine is outstanding in her judgment.

Laughter

Elaine: Thank you, Toni. I think you're outstanding in your judgement, too!

Sarah: Are you both satisfied with these?

Elaine: I would like to see if the City thinks we should look at any of these.

Sarah: I see no concerns, you've made thoughtful decisions

Deyhana: I think it's good.

Kevin: I may have one but that's only because i'm thinking of the seniors, if you would take \$14,569 from Hasselbring and just give them \$30,000 and move that \$14,569 to home delivered meals, i think that would be a good recommendation, that's just me though.

Toni: So we are not funding GCCARD at all and you're suggesting that we do?

Kevin: I'd just like to advocate for the seniors more.

Toni: I had a neighbor and that used to be the only way she got fed.

Elaine: I have no problem, but maybe not to take from one senior to another senior center.

Kevin: I only say that because we typically give them \$20,000-\$25,000, but like I said we are at the pleasure of what you suggest.

Elaine: I am thinking if we give Hasselbring more they can do more. As a senior I am concerned about seniors, however, I would take the money from somewhere else.

Toni: If we fund them at 100% what else is being funded at 100%?

Deyhana: Maybe Neighborhood Engagement Hub?

Sarah: Neighborhood Engagement Hub is currently funded. That is Mike/Allie's contract, I don't know where their balance is right now, but they did have some spending hurdles due to covid. I don't know their situation, but they might have more money left than they normally have.

Mike: Yeah, they're still down to about \$28,000. They're moving right along, last year they couldn't spend because they couldn't rent things out, but this year they are consistent and they're good spenders, and a really good community asset. I've been speaking to Roy recently and they don't have enough money to do as much maintenance on lawn mowing and people are going to the toolshed and borrowing the zero turn mowers and whatnot and zipping out those lawns. So it is a really good community tool.

Elaine: Right and they asked for \$108,000 and \$80,000 was their minimum and I agree, I know they provide a great service so I'd like to leave them at \$80,000.

Toni: Yes ma'am.

Elaine: If we need to find money...

Sarah: That is totally up to the CWAC.

Toni: Do you want to leave it as is, Elaine?

Elaine: If you're comfortable, yes.

Toni: There's never enough money for all the things we want to do!

Elaine: I know!

Sarah: That is one of the challenges.

Elaine: It's been worse.

Sarah: The momentum is good here, though. It is up to you if you want to fund the salmon colored ones or not.

Toni: Let's finalize it and be done with this, I feel bad for meals on wheels, though.

Sarah: I will say GCCARD is associated with the county and they are receiving funds from other places and even have access to this type of funding through the county.

Elaine: Now, GCCARD, that's not actually meals on wheels?

Sarah: This is their version of that.

Elaine: Okay.

Toni: What is that program?

Kevin: This is like the example of your neighbor, it's that program.

Toni: And that was good for her because it was already fixed for her.

Elaine: Let's revisit VCAC, what if we gave them \$20,000?

Toni: And moved \$10,000 to GCCARD?

Elaine: Yes or \$10,000 to VCAC and \$20,000 to GCCARD?

Toni: God, I love them both, let's try to give them \$20,000 and see what we can widdle down somewhere else.

Sarah: Minimum is \$0 to still run their program.

Toni: Are we negative now? Why does YWCA have parenthesis?

Carissa: If you want to give \$20,000 to GCCARD, that puts you over. So you'll have to take money from somewhere else. You are negative right now.

Elaine: What if we took \$10,000 off VCAC and \$10,000 off YWCA.

Toni: Yes ma'am.

Public services complete

Blight Elimination Starts

Sarah: City of Flint Neighborhood Cleanups \$163,000.

Elaine: For me Office of Blight is green, Code Enforcement is a green, Communities First is a salmon, GCLBA is a green, MADE is salmon, and SBEV is a green.

Toni: I didn't like SBEV.

Elaine: This was for the sidewalks?

Sarah: No, this was the sportsplex.

Toni: I had 54 on that scoring, code at 93, blight at 96....

Kevin: Looking at construction (i.e. the sports complex), we are really looking at shovel ready programs.

Sarah: That's correct.

Kevin: We have been cited for money toward projects that haven't been ready to go and we have put ourselves in a bind and we do not want to do that again.

Sarah: Yes and this is for the demolition of the building and slabs and clearance of all debris and blight. It is fragmented, but I am trying to get the timeline together.

Deyhana: If that's for six properties in Flint, then I am just wondering if they own these properties?

Sarah: Right, that is a good question. The former Larry and Helen's Meat Market is the former site.

Deyhana: Right.

Sarah: I am trying to see if they show this.

Toni: I had SBEV high for demolition, so yours says sportsplex, so that might be an issue.

Sarah: Yeah, that is just what the property would be after the demolition.

Kevin: And so just as a clarification, SBEV and CFI to my knowledge have never done an activity such as demolition, so I don't know how they'll do with compliance. Sarah, you can speak more to that, but that's just some information for the committee.

Sarah: That is what I was just looking at and that's a concern Glenda has mentioned earlier. But this is an activity they have not completed before, at least not with us. They do have a contractor that's well versed in large construction and in compliance with Section 3 and MBWE. Seems like they may have had a little issues with compliance but have gotten better. Seems they have \$2 million of their \$8 million project. But this is only for the demolition and clearance of the properties around it.

Kevin: The only question left over is do they have site control.

Sarah: And this is a blight project which doesn't have the same requirements as HOME for site control.

Carissa: So if I could just add one thing because I don't remember us talking about it. This yellow highlight on the right, we have a total of \$2,589,899.00 to fund every activity for blight, economic development, housing, and facility improvements. Uhm, and we cna go all the way down and just do green/yellow/salmon and come and deliberate more.

Sarah: Yeah and I am not seeing anything on Site Control but they say they hope to proceed to demolition within 90 days of receiving funding and having the site ready by spring 2020. So we have our green light/salmon light for blight. So let's move on to economic development. So we have Asbury Community Kitchen.

Elaine: Asbury Community Development Corp is a green.

Sarah: Okay, what about Best Practices Microenterprise Assistance?

Elaine: I'm a salmon

Sarah: Toni, how are you feeling?

Toni: Yeah, I'm okay.

Sarah: Communities First at 1021 North Saginaw.

Elaine: I think if this is the same property, it was something about occupancy.

Sarah: They were going to renovate it to make it available for rental space.

Toni: I'd like the watershed coalition to be green.

Sarah: There is a correction on this address, it is actually 1515 North Saginaw.

Deyhana: Yes, they say they took over the address from the land bank.

Sarah: They did once own it, but I'm not sure anymore. It was a former strip mall, that is the property they are referencing.

Elaine: So this \$100,000 is another situation where we want them ready to go.

Sarah: They will actually demolish this property.

Deyhana: I think they have a plan for and I think it;s a great area. I was talking to Glenda about this earlier. With demolition, it just matters what the end use would be.

Sarah: Right and there's no end use mentioned.

Deyhana: Right so they said it's going to be a sustainable mixed use community asset and I don't know what that means.

Sarah: Yeah, my guess would be some sort of housing development.

Elaine: I wanna do yellow.

Toni: I like redevelopment, so I do want to see that instead of just green space.

Sarah: With this I don't think it counts as economic development since it's demolition, so that's an issue there.

Elaine: Because there was nothing provided as to....

Sarah: It was very vague.

Toni: So it should have been under blight?

Elaine: Isn't this blight?

Sarah: No this is economic development.

Carissa: So do you want me to move it or stay there?

Sarah: So they did submit it for blight so it should be moved

Carissa: Okay, I will move that, you guys keep talking.

Sarah: Okay, Communities First for \$325,000.00.

Elaine: Salmon for Communities First.

Toni: Yes ma'am.

Sarah: 100k Ideas, green, yellow, or salmon?

Elaine: I like green.

Toni: So they have a lot of other funding, I don't know if that's for what this project is, yeah I'm with Elaine, green.

Sarah: SBEV economic development?

Toni: And that's not blight, right?

Sarah: Correct this is a separate economic development activity. Glenda hasn't mentioned this to me, she is our economic development guru.

Carissa: Kevin do you think any issues with low mod clientele, do you think there's an issue with our risk score since our assessment took place afterward.

Sarah: Their program and activities doesn't sound like it belongs in economic development.

Toni: Is that the sidewalks and stuff?

Sarah: Yes.

Carissa: Facility Improvements, is that where you want me to move it to?

Sarah: Yes, they applied under economic development, correct me if you can/

Deyhana: Yes, I agree. Plus this is more complex than the previous activity that they had issues in.

Sarah: They do have collaborations with other agencies, they have to be compliant with section 3 and MBWE, and this is to increase public spaces outdoors.

Deyhana: And davis bacon.

Sarah: Yes and their previous funding experience was \$10,000 and \$20,000 in the last two years, so this is a substantial increase. So this is complex and does not belong in economic development..

Kevin: I just want to note when we are looking at these we also want to look at what you looked at earlier and how it relates to other funding. We typically don't want to fund activities that use only CDBG funds, CDBG funds should be leveraged with other funding.

Sarah: The timeline, too, the timeline construction in fall once financing and funding is called, expecting the building to be open and ready for programs in spring of '22. That's their timeline, at least. Again, we can address that when we reach facility improvements. We can move on to the next category.

Move on Facility Improvements.

Sarah: St Michaels Upgrades... green, yellow, salmon?

Elaine: Green.

Toni: Yes.

Sarah: Communities First, Facility Upgrades. They currently have two contracts, Mike and Kevin each have one. Green, yellow, or salmon.

Elaine: Green.

Toni: Yes.

Sarah: City of Flint, Mckinley and Windiate Improvements. Green, yellow, salmon?

Elaine: Green.

Sarah: ECDI, green, yellow, or salmon?

Deyhana: Have they applied for this before?

Sarah: I think they may have, I think Mike oversaw this.

Mike: Everything that I saw went smoothly.

Elaine: Green

Sarah: FIM, green/yellow/salmon?

Toni: Salmon

Sarah: FISH, Earth Climber exhibit.
Elaine: Green.
Toni: I have 80 on them, yeah, okay.
Sarah: Flint Odyssey House
Toni: Green
Sarah: Flint Odyssey House, Elevator Upgrades
Toni: Salmon
Elaine: That's fine
Sarah: GFHC 120 W. First Street Sidewalk Improvements.
Elaine: Salmon
Toni: I agree
Sarah: Latinx community center \$435,160.00

Elaine: Green

Toni: I wanna make a notation there, SBEV is their fiscal sponsor and they want 10% for handling their fiscal budget off the top. There was a notation on there that if we couldn't fund the whole renovation, they wanted a minimum of the roof done. So I'd like to do at least their roof repair.... But that would need the 10% added. I want green and to at the very least fund their roof.

Deyhana: So they're handling their fiscal budget?

Sarah: My guess is they are acting as their fiduciary.

Toni: Yeah, that word.

Sarah: Roy and I met with them last year because they weren't sure what all CDBG entailed and we let them know first year applicants typically have a fiduciary and my guess is they sought one out and got SBEV from what it sounds like.

Toni: Yeah and I spoke to someone about that and 10% is a normal practice. It seemed like a lot of money to me, but it is a normal practice. But I would like to at least fund their roof to get them started.

Sarah: SOF \$206,369.

Elaine: Green

Toni: What is this?

Sarah: SOF for shelter updates

Toni: Okay

Sarah: SBEV for Main Building Repairs at \$85,000

Elaine: That was what we just spoke about with them, and we have a concern with the timeliness, correct?

Toni: I made a note that neuroscience could fund... I don't know why I made that notation, I'm thinking they'll have an alternative funding source.

Elaine: Oh, I love when they say that.

Toni: Yeah, so let's let them have it.

Sarah: SBEV again, for the Flint Sportsplex Development. \$254,440.

Toni: And this is the sidewalk and stuff around it?

Sarah: Yes, outdoor space improvements.

Toni: Which they need if they complete the other part

Elaine: I'm really excited about the flint sportsplex, I want to give it all the support we can... however, I'm hearing there is sme internal spending issues or not so much spending but questions

on owning the property or what.... So there's a wonder on if they can find who owns the land they're going to clear and we really have no way of knowing if they own the properties. Is that correct?

Sarah: We can look at property ownership or if we have a staffer that can pull up BSA and see who owns it.

Elaine: Well let's see that, if someone can find that out that would help me make the decision.

Toni: So should we put it as salmon for now and come back to it.

Sarah: So we have Tapology STONE movers program.

Toni: I have a notation on that, like is it in the right category?

Sarah: That does sound like a public service activity.

Toni: Don't get me wrong, I am all for it, I just make notes like Elaine. I had one on a clear description of the object.

Sarah: They did apply under facility improvements... Sarah explains the program description. What are they trying to do with this....

Carissa: I am reading a section that says the primary goal is to expand youth engagement and serve youth. It's reading as though it's a program.

Sarah: Yeah, it's more of a public service. So the budget is salary and professional services contracts.

Carissa: So should we move STONE to public service.. And they re-evaluate the public service.

Toni: Well if they were getting flooring and stuff through the program.

Carissa: So if it is a public service activity, do we agree that I should move it into that category now?

Sarah: That is up to the CWAC. We can move it, we have already completed the public service. And regarding the SBEV property, it is under an organization's name, Insight.. So we do not know if that's them or not.

Deyhana: My understanding is it's not.

Toni: One of their board members is from insight, so they may have a plan for that. Because Insight does their fiduciary stuff.

Elaine: Whose responsibility is it when they send these app's in whether they should apply for a certain category or do you then put them in appropriate categories.

Sarah: When they apply they indicate what category they want to be funded under. Only one activity per app. We generally, at some point, identify all of them or we get looking more in depth and see that it's not what they say it is. Historically we moved it and let CWAC make that decision taking into account they did not apply under the correct activity.

Toni: So no one reaches out and asks them.

Sarah: At this point, no. But we do give them a cursory review when we get their application so they do have the option to receive TA prior to submitting, when they come in the understanding that they should have known enough to know what category they should apply under.

Carissa: In the past, when this has happened, when we have completed the books. If we know there is an issue we have typically just moved it just to help those agencies. But I think tonight, Elaine, since we already have moved some activities, we should move that, we don't have to consider them for any money, because they don't belong.

Elaine: I get that, my true concern is, I love Tapology, I would love to support them, but at the same time it's kind of like they missed it, but I don't really want to penalize them... but technically we could.

Allie: Are there repercussions if it's in the wrong category? What kind of repercussions could they have?

Carissa: We may have to do an amendment to our Action Plan. If they incurred cost, and this is possible, public service agencies take on the risk of expending funds when we may not get a grant agreement in the future. So it could harm the nonprofit themselves. But for us, if there's been no spending.

Deyhana: Or they just won't be eligible.

Allie: Right, that was my understanding, so I am worried if you left it in the facility improvements that they couldn't even receive funding.

Carissa: Well, we can't do that Allie because....

Allie: That's what I am trying to say, I guess, there really isn't an option to leave that in facility improvements.

Carissa: Yes, I think that's what Sarah is trying to say, we do believe that they apply for these in good faith. The repercussions would happen if we did set it up as a facility improvement and then they spent down on it. But that won't happen because we've caught it.

Elaine: Toni, so we'll re-evaluate public service again?

Toni: I don't want to go back there.

Elaine: Me either, but it's the right thing to do.

Toni: Well Elaine I agree with you.

Begin Housing Rehabilitation.

Sarah: Let's start with GCHFH CWE. Green/yellow/salmon.

Toni: Green

Sarah: GCHFH

Toni: Green

Sarah: GCCARD

Elaine: Salmon

Sarah: MADE

Elaine: Yellow

Toni: Yes

Sarah: UHKF

Elaine: Yellow

Sarah: Okay, so with that, that rounds out our red light/green light/yellow light. We did move one of those down to Public Service where it belongs. Did you want to revisit public service for tapology?

Toni: Elaine wanted to revisit that

Elaine: Do you agree you want to give them funding or not give them funding?

Toni: I'd have to look at the program again.

Sarah recaps program

Deyhana: When I read through, in regards to facility improvements, I also saw that they have to have special floors for tap dancing. Is that what they're talking about?

Sarah: Uhm, let me look. I'm looking at their line item budget. I'm not seeing where they're requesting anything for construction or equipment.

Allie: In their description they said something about the floor, but in their budget I didn't see anything.

Toni: What's in their other budget?

Sarah: I see zero.

Carissa: I also see zero in construction. Within the application itself, its also seeking to low mod clientele, so I think they actually intended... well everything in here says public service.

Sarah: And in the actual budget under other, or under construction.

Toni: So I see under other, I scrolled down, for 5 visiting artists for contracts. \$4,000 for each artist. That's where that \$20,000 is coming from.

Carissa: This is where it says special flooring, I think this is the sentence you were referring to Deyhana and Allie.

Allie: Yeah, but isn't just the tap shoes in the actual budget, though?

Carissa: Yeah, it's just flat out public service.

Deyhana: I agree.

Carissa: So the question for you, Toni and Elaine, is do you want to revisit public service to account for Tapology. We can make it yellow or move funding around. I do want to remind you that the City of Flint will have reprogramming dollars and to meet our spending requirements we will have another reprogramming opportunity coming up.

Elaine: With that in mind, and since we were happy with our choices, can we give Tapology first in line for reprogramming funds?

Deyhana: It depends on the application process.

Elaine: Oh, well Toni what do you think?

Toni: I think if we reach out to them and say if we have reprogramming you should apply and make sure it's under the right category.

Carissa: I'm not suggesting anything, but I would like to remind you in column L I wrote down that CHEA had a \$25,000 minimum. It's possible that if they only want tap shoes, \$5000 might help them do that and you can give CHEA the minimum.

Elaine: That is an excellent suggestion, I think.

Carissa: What do you think Toni?

Toni: That is okay. But still I would like to reach out to them and give them a little enlightenment.

Carissa: I agree.

Begin on funding disbursement.

Sarah: What is the approach we want to take for this? It is 8:00pm. We have 2.5 million, what is the plan of attack?

Elaine: Start with the green.

Sarah: So, starting in blight with the COF neighborhood cleanup.

Elaine: Let's give them \$100k and note \$50k on the side

Sarah: COF code enforcement

Toni: I want code enforcement so bad in this city.

Elaine: I do too. I was just looking at their goals and I didn't see any new employees.

Deyhana: There are a lot of different components they fund with this activity.

Carissa: Yes there is less risk, but we haven't seen any payment requests from them and that is a lot of money to be sitting on.

Sarah: Yes, especially from a CDBG standpoint.

Toni: What's the reasoning behind that?

Carissa: Staff turnover

Toni: I have heard that some code enforcement office was not doing their job. Just clocking in and then going home.

Carissa: It looks like they have spent a lot looking at this. So it looks like it's just hard to see the spending from our perspective now.

Toni: Code enforcement is a priority so I want to fund them. But I just don't think we want to do that amount.

Elaine: \$400k is good.

Sarah: Okay we can start there. GCLBA is next.

Elaine: \$500k

Toni: Okay plug it in and go from there

Sarah: SBEV \$85k for demo

Toni: How much should we give them, Elaine?

Elaine: I thought we were going to get the clarification of who owns it

Sarah: Right now all I can provide is the foundation and the only caveat would be for them to prove ownership, but they would not get the funding if they did not own the property because that's a requirement.

Elaine: I'd like to skip that one and revisit.

Sarah: Asbury \$300k

Elaine: \$150k

Toni: I don't like that kitchen but i like the idea of redeveloping that area

Sarah: 100k

Elaine: Min request

Sarah: 35k, ask was min

Elaine: I think we funded them before

Carissa: We have never had a purchase order from them.

Elaine: Thats the org that assists the entrepreneurs, i like that whether its 10k or the full amount.

Toni: That sounds good to me, girlfriend.

Sarah: FRWC 399k.

Elaine: That's kayak flint.

Toni: I love them, but wasn't there something about Chevy in the Hole.

Sarah: Yes, that is Michigan's newest state park and will be going under some development.

Elaine: Well, they've already started the kayak flint.

Toni: The min is \$245.

Elaine: Let's do that.

Sarah: Catholic Charities, St Michaels upgrades.

Elaine: I have concerns about if that's putting a boiler inside a building that's not really occupied.

Toni: I think they have to do this first before they can move in and do anything else.

Elaine: Isn't that whole property kind of vandalized and in bad shape?

Toni: So they have \$500k of other funding secured for this. So I'd like to give them at least something and then they can encourage their other funders to step up.

Carissa: Well we have to meet a N.O., so with Elaine's concerns, we could ufnd the building improvement but they may not fix the building up which would lead to them needing to repay.

Elaine: To invest in something that sits vacant I can just see it being wasted.

Sarah: Maybe we can revisit this property, it sounds like you both have concerns on this activity.

Elaine: How do you feel about this, Toni?

Deyhana: It's going to be one of those ones we encourage to apply later, so they can make their improvements and then from there we can see how it goes ?

Toni: I really do like this project though.

Carissa: Why don't we move on and come back to it?

Sarah: Lets move on to CFI for windows i will say they failed to do their due diligence on this exact property.

Toni: I had questions about this, are they just doing windows in one spot or what?

Elaine: Well if they are not in compliance right now why don't we say no?

Toni: I agree.

Sarah: COF Mckinley and Windiate park improvements.

Elaine: Why do they have a 90 risk score?

Sarah: They currently have a large amount of unspent funding and they have a lot of staff turnover. That unspent funding has to do with McKinley that they haven't spent on.

Toni: Looks like they have matching funds from HUD.

Sarah: That's the choice dollars.

Toni: So they can't spend them unless they have someone to design it?

Elaine: But they have money to spend right now, I'm going to yellow that.

Sarah: ECDI boiler replacement for 199,800.

Elaine: 107k, toni is that okay for you.

Toni: My thought is if they're trying to do a water testing thing that they need the boilers fixed.

Sarah: Yes, I know they run a number of youth programs at that facility, especially while the schools are in session.

Sarah: FISH, salaries and wages partly, and professional services.

Elaine \$150k would be fine.

Sarah: Flint Odyssey House.

Toni: My thought is, I want to fund their fire alarm upgrade, but not their elevator upgrade.

Elaine: Sounds good to me.

Sarah: Latinx is next for their roof repair, along with the 10% for SBEV as their fiduciary.

Elaine: I want to give them \$50,000.

Toni: Yes.

Sarah: Shelter of Flint for Shelter Updates.

Elaine: Have they received funds before?

Sarah: They receive funding under our ESG, I do not know about the facility improvement sides.

Carissa: The only contract I am thinking of now is ESG. Nothing CDBG. I would like to add that even revisiting St. Michaels and SBEV, we have almost a million dollars after I adjusted that formula. So you are looking at funding them all completely, it would be 954,000 and we have 950,000. It was a large error but I fixed it.

Sarah: Okay, sounds good. We are SOF.

Elaine: Okay, let's give them \$200,000.

Toni: I think that's a little high, I mean we can come back to it later. I'm looking at the prices on the different things they want to fix. The intercom and buzzer system is definitely needed. Could we try \$150,000?

Elaine: Yes.

Sarah: Moving on, GCHFH City Wide Emergency Repair.

Elaine: \$200,000.

Toni: I'd have to look at exactly what they're funding.

Sarah: It is funding low and moderate income repairs to code issues within housing, to make sure it maintains a level of safety. Think of bare wiring, severe plumbing. Essentially making a home livable and addressing safety issues. The OOR is more like roofing issues or front porch issues, some small bathroom improvements. Or you're handicapped and need a zero access shower system. Deferred maintenance issues.

Toni: Okay, I was looking at both of them at the same time. I definitely want to keep people in their houses. I know how many people are walking around with hats with lights on them to see inside their houses.

Elaine: We have enough money.

Toni: Right, I agree with the \$200,000. Let's scooch down to the next. We can fully fund both.

Carissa: And you want to revisit Catholic Charities and SBEV.

Elaine: Let's start at the top and see where we are at. For COF Neighborhood Cleanup. Let's leave it at that and see how they spend it.

Toni: And scroll down and see if there are more we want to add to.

Sarah: Blight Elimination for code enforcement.

Elaine: I am good with that.

Toni: I agree.

Sarah: GCLBA.

Toni: They don't need anymore.

Sarah: SBEV.

Toni: We have enough money to fund that, right? That would be part 1 of their 3 part program.

Elaine: Yes.

Sarah: Asbury Community Kitchen, Ferris Wheel 100k Ideas...

Elaine: Let's give them 35.

Toni: I was actually thinking of giving Catholic Charities some money.

Elaine: Oh.

Toni: Are you not okay with that? I'd like to give Catholic Charities a portion. Can we give them \$100,000? What do you think, Elaine?

Elaine: Okay, yeah, that's okay.

Sarah: So we have \$51,899. Where would you like to insert that?

Elaine: Let's look at GCCARD.

Sarah: That's under housing, I believe.

Elaine: I would give GCCARD the balance.

Sarah: With that, that fully funds everything. Are you satisfied with those recommendations for CDBG?

Elaine: I would like to ask the City what their input is. Do you have any concerns about what we just allocated?

Sarah: The only concern I am seeing is the ownership of SBEV.

Toni: Could you clarify what you just said?

Sarah: For SBEV, we just need to clarify that they own that property before we fund them.

Elaine: If they don't, can we repurpose it?

Toni: That sounds great.

Elaine: Can we make it \$45,000 and give the rest to GCCARD. Toni?

Toni: GCCARD is the emergency home repairs?

Elaine: Yes.

Toni: Yes ma'am. But would that make it so they can't do it if they don't have that money?

Sarah: That is a concern.

Deyhana: I think we forgot to schedule a bathroom break.

Elaine: I am about to tap out.

Sarah: We have one more, let's make this one decision! And knowing the cost of demolition, it is a concern that they cannot fulfill that project if it's not fully funded. Especially with the unforeseen costs associated with demolitions.

Elaine: Well \$50,000 for GCCARD, is that a good amount? But they asked for \$500,000.

Sarah: We have funded them before and they had compliance issues, and they have had some staff turnover, so I am not 100% familiar with the people there now. If I remember correctly we did recapture a good amount of their funding. I don't know if they're not operating this program currently using alternative fund sources. These are the only funds they're putting into this project, they're looking at us to fund their entire project.

Deyhana: And that's not good either.

Sarah: Yes, we try to avoid that since this is a reimbursement program.

Elaine: Toni, should we take that \$50,000 off from the sportsplex demolition?

Toni: Why do we want to take that off?

Sarah: It had been recommended to take that off and give it to GCCARD. It had been brought up that maybe they wouldn't be able to complete the demolition. I cannot advise if that would be enough funding or not. Demolition is typically expensive, but it's not realistic to estimate a cost.

Toni: So SBEV's demolition was like the first step, so we could fund that to get their feet off the ground.

Elaine: So let's leave it.

Sarah: And we are leaving GCCARD as is?

Elaine: Unless Toni had another idea, if they said \$500,000 was their minimum they might not even use the \$51,000?

Toni: Well then it would just go into reprogramming?

Elaine: Okay.

Sarah: Okay, so you're satisfied with your recommendations, then?

Elaine: Yes!

Toni: Yes!

Sarah: So it is 9:11pm and our CWAC members are satisfied with their recommendations. Do I have a motion to submit these recommendations to the administration as the CWAC's recommendations for the 2021-22 Action Plan.

Elaine: I make a motion to submit these recommendations as the CWAC has put forth.

Toni: I second the motion.

Sarah: Do we have a motion to adjourn this meeting?

Mike: I move to adjourn.

Deyhana: I second!

Sarah: With that, I move that this meeting will be adjourned.

Toni: Are we done or do we have more to do on the 19th?

Sarah: I sent an email today for CARES. The recommendations were sent to us based on priority projects from the administration and applications were submitted from those agencies that were put as priority providers. You have those applications in your email for CDBG-CV and ESG-CV. Allegedly this fall we will see HOME CARES acts, but not at this time. We are just asking you to review those applications and take a look and provide some feedback and commentary.

Toni: So more homework?

Sarah: A little, but you get to be in the know. No score sheets associated with CARES. Basically just review and jot down notes or concerns and we will go over that on the 19th at 5:00pm.

Toni: I just want to be done!

Sarah: I do want to thank you for knocking these out tonight, we appreciate it.

Elaine: Thank you and have a good night everyone!

Meeting Adjourned at 9:17pm.