

EM SUBMISSION NO.: 2012EM436

PRESENTED: 7/17/18

ADOPTED: 7/18/18

BY THE EMERGENCY MANAGER:

Union Services Agency

Risk Management solicited proposals in 2007 for Property/Casualty Insurance which includes Excess Commercial General Liability coverage. The City awarded the contract to Union Services Agency and they have continuously provided the Excess Commercial General Liability coverage since that time.

Risk Management is recommending that the Union Services Agency be retained to continue to provide the City with Excess Commercial General Liability coverage. Funding for these services is to come from account 677-174.851-955.000 in an amount not to exceed amount of \$163,400.00.

IT IS RESOLVED, that the appropriate city officials are authorized to enter into a contract with Union Services Agency to provide Excess Commercial General Liability Insurance at a cost not to exceed \$163,400.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

APPROVED AS TO FINANCE:



Peter M. Bade, Chief Legal Officer



Jerry Ambrose, Finance Director

EM DISPOSITION:

ENACT ✓

FAIL _____

DATED 7-18-12



Michael K. Brown, Emergency Manager

S:\FORMS\EM Resolution Template.doc

RESOLUTION STAFF REVIEW

DATE: 7-12-12

Agenda Item Title: Union Services Insurance Agency

Prepared By: Robert C. Erlenbeck – Risk Management and Benefits Administrator

Background/Summary of Proposed Action: The City's Commercial General Liability coverage has been placed through Union Services Insurance Agency since 2004. The City experienced a large \$1,000,000.00 claim that was paid over the last year making it difficult to solicit coverage from other insurance companies. C.V. Starr, the underwriting company, has agreed to renew this policy at a premium of \$163,400.00 which is actually slightly lower than the expiring policy. In order to further solicit alternative proposals and prepare a comprehensive Property/Casualty Request for Proposal Risk Management is recommending this policy be renewed for the FY-13 Fiscal year.

Financial Implications: Failure to extend the policy may result in no liability coverage for the City.

Budgeted Expenditure? Yes ☒ No ☐ Please explain if no:

Account No.: 677-174.851-955.000

Pre-encumbered? Yes ☒ No ☐ Requisition #

Other Implications (i.e., collective bargaining): None

Staff Recommendation: It is Risk Management and Benefit Administration's recommendation that this policy be renewed and placed through Union Services.

Staff Person: RE 7/12/12
Risk Mgmt. & Benefits Administrator

Approval: [Signature]
Gerald Ambrose, Finance Director